Honorable Scott K. Saiki  
Speaker, House of Representatives  
Twenty-Ninth State Legislature  
First Special Session of 2017  
State of Hawaii

Sir:

Your Committees on Transportation and Finance, to which was referred S.B. No. 4, entitled:

"A BILL FOR AN ACT RELATING TO GOVERNMENT,"

begs leave to report as follows:

The purpose of this measure is to provide funding mechanisms for certain county transportation projects.

More specifically, the measure:

(1) Authorizes a county that has adopted a surcharge on state tax to extend the surcharge to December 31, 2030;

(2) Authorizes a county that has not yet adopted a surcharge on state tax to adopt a surcharge before March 31, 2018, under certain conditions;

(3) Decreases from ten per cent to one per cent the surcharge gross proceeds retained by the State for the costs of assessment, collection, and disposition of a county surcharge on state tax, and adds oversight duties as an allowable use of the moneys retained by the State;

(4) Establishes the Mass Transit Special Fund;
(5) Increases the transient accommodations tax from 9.25 per cent to 10.25 per cent from January 1, 2018, to December 31, 2030, and allocates revenues resulting from the increase to the Mass Transit Special Fund;

(6) With regard to a county with a population greater than five hundred thousand:

(A) Specifies that moneys shall be allocated from the Mass Transit Special Fund for capital costs of a mass transit project, under certain conditions;

(B) Establishes that if a court makes a monetary award to a county due to the State's violation of any state law or constitutional provision relating to the State's deduction and withholding of county surcharge on state tax revenues, then an amount equal to the monetary award shall be withheld from the additional transient accommodations tax revenues paid over to the Mass Transit Special Fund and shall be credited to the general fund;

(C) Authorizes the Director of Finance to allocate and disburse moneys in the Mass Transit Special Fund to the county director of finance:

(i) Upon receipt of a certification statement from the Comptroller that verifies a rapid transportation authority's invoices for capital costs; and

(ii) On a monthly basis; and

(D) Clarifies that revenues from a county surcharge on state tax or moneys allocated from the Mass Transit Special Fund shall not be used for:

(i) Operation or maintenance costs of a mass transit project; or

(ii) Administrative, operating, marketing, or maintenance costs of a rapid transportation authority;
(7) Makes permanent the annual allocation of $103,000,000 of transient accommodations tax revenues to the counties;

(8) Requires the State Auditor to:

(A) Conduct an audit of the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation; provided that:

(i) As part of its analysis, the State Auditor shall research the criteria used by the Federal Transit Administration to determine whether expenditures comply with the requirements and restrictions of the full funding agreement of the Honolulu transit project; and

(ii) The State Auditor shall report its findings no later than twenty days prior to the 2019 Regular Session; and

(B) Conduct an annual review of any rapid transportation authority in the State charged with the responsibility of constructing, operating, or maintaining a mass transit project that receives moneys from a surcharge on state tax or transient accommodations tax revenues, or both;

(9) Requires the Comptroller to verify and certify invoices submitted by a rapid transportation authority for the capital costs of a mass transit project;

(10) Makes appropriations for the Department of Budget and Finance, the Department of Accounting and General Services, and the State Auditor to carry out their oversight responsibilities under this measure;

(11) Appropriates moneys from the Mass Transit Special Fund to pay over to a county with a population over five hundred thousand, amounts certified by the Comptroller in invoices for capital costs of a qualifying mass transit project; and
(12) Requires the Senate President and House Speaker to each appoint two non-voting, ex officio members to the board of directors of the county rapid transportation authority of a county with a population greater than five hundred thousand, to ensure the appropriate use of state-authorized funds to finance a mass transit project.

The United States Representative of Hawai‘i’s 1st Congressional District; Department of Accounting and General Services; Department of Budget and Finance; Office of the Auditor; Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation; Ala Moana-Kakaako Neighborhood Board No. 11; AARP Hawaii; Building Industry Association of Hawaii; The Chamber of Commerce Hawaii; Hawaii Construction Alliance; Hawaii Laborers Union, Local 368; Hawaii Regional Council of Carpenters; International Longshore and Warehouse Union Local 142; Kapolei Chamber of Commerce; Move Oahu Forward; PHOCUSED; United Public Workers, AFSCME, Local 646, AFL-CIO; and thirty-one individuals testified in support of this measure.

The Hawaii Tourism Authority; Mayor of the County of Kauai; Castle Resorts and Hotels; Financial Accountability for Rail Mass Transit Association; Hawaii Federation of Republican Women; Hawaii Lodging and Tourism Association; Hawaii Republican Party; Honolulu County Republican Party; Kauai Chamber of Commerce; Kohala Coast Resort Association; Maui Chamber of Commerce; Maui Hotel and Lodging Association; Outrigger Enterprises Group; Outrigger Hotels Political Action Committee; Prince Resorts Hawaii; and twenty-five individuals testified in opposition to this measure.

The Department of Taxation; Department of Transportation; Mayor of the City and County of Honolulu; the Chair and three Council members of the Honolulu City Council; the Chair of the Maui County Council; General Contractors Association of Hawaii; Grassroot Institute of Hawaii; Hawaii Laborers-Employers Cooperation and Education Trust; The Maritime Group, LLC; Tax Foundation of Hawaii; Ulupono Initiative; and two individuals submitted comments on the measure.

Your Committees find that this measure changes the fundamental manner in which a county with a population greater than five hundred thousand receives revenues used to finance a locally preferred alternative for a mass transit project.
Currently, a qualifying county receives revenues generated by a surcharge on state tax and is allowed to expend those moneys on its mass transit project as it deems appropriate; provided that the expenditures are allowed by law. This measure requires the county to submit invoices for its mass transit capital costs to the State Comptroller, who will then certify that the capital costs qualify under the expenditure requirements of state law, and once these invoiced costs are certified, the State Director of Finance is authorized to release the funds to the county.

Your Committees note that the City and County of Honolulu's rail transit project will serve a county with a population greater than five hundred thousand, and thus is a locally preferred alternative for a mass transit project that qualifies as a project which may be funded under this measure. Your Committees find that the Honolulu rail transit project has exceeded its projected budget and that questions have been raised about the project's management and cost, including in reports by the Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc., the American Public Transportation Association, and the Office of the City Auditor. Nevertheless, your Committees believe that the rail transit project will benefit the residents of the State and further believe that the project must be completed in order to provide a viable public transportation alternative and facilitate transit-oriented development along the transit route. Your Committees find that the City and County of Honolulu requires sufficient funds to cover construction and financing costs of the rail project and to ensure that the full twenty-mile guideway, along with all twenty-one rail stations, can be built.

However, your Committees believe that additional scrutiny and oversight are necessary to ensure that the Honolulu rail transit project is completed in a transparent and fiscally responsible manner. Accordingly, this measure requires the State Auditor to conduct a comprehensive initial audit and annual reviews of the project and also requires the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation to provide the Comptroller with detailed financial and accounting information for verification prior to the allocation of funds for expenditure. The Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation and, on behalf of the City and County of Honolulu, the Mayor, and Council Chair, have indicated that they do not object to state oversight.
Your Committees also believe that, by requiring a large portion of the additional funding for the rail project to be derived from a modest increase in transient accommodations tax revenue and by limiting the period in which the City and County of Honolulu may extend its surcharge on state tax to three years, this measure minimizes financing costs and the tax burden on residents of the State and the City and County of Honolulu. The project's high-cost construction years are expected to occur between 2018 and 2022, and the infusion of capital during those years will directly offset the need for long-term financing costs associated with the ten-year extension of the surcharge on state tax that was proposed by the Mayor of the City and County of Honolulu.

Regarding the adequacy of the financing to be generated by this measure, your Committees note that the conservative three percent general excise tax revenue projection and the twenty-nine year historical eight percent transient accommodations tax revenue data show that there will be a windfall that exceeds the Mayor of the City and County of Honolulu's last-minute attempt to add $548,000,000 to the $8,165,000,000 rail transit project budget. Your Committees also note that the financial "stress test" that the Federal Transit Administration will apply to the project will be based on the financial plan submitted by the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation. If the Federal Transit Administration finds that the financial plan is not adequate, then the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation will need to explain how it will address the possibilities that the project's cost may rise or that revenues may not materialize as projected. Your Committees note that the United States Representative from the 1st Congressional District testified that, pursuant to her discussions with Federal Transit Administration personnel, the Federal Transit Administration does not necessarily require that funding be in place to address those potential variances.

Your Committees also note that this measure specifically prohibits the use of revenues generated by the increase in transient accommodations tax or the surcharge on state tax for the operating or maintenance costs of a mass transit project or the administrative, operating, marketing, or maintenance costs of a rapid transportation authority charged with responsibility for constructing, operating, or maintaining the mass transit project.
Finally, your Committees find that, if they so desire, the Counties of Hawaii, Kauai, and Maui should be afforded the opportunity to enact surcharges on state tax to fund operating or capital costs of public transportation within those counties.

As affirmed by the record of votes of the members of your Committees on Transportation and Finance that is attached to this report, your Committees are in accord with the intent and purpose of S.B. No. 4, and recommend that it pass Second Reading and be placed on the calendar for Third Reading.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the members of the Committees on Transportation and Finance,

HENRY J. C. AQUINO, Chair

SYLVIA LUKE, Chair
Record of Votes of the Committee on Transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRN Members</th>
<th>Ayes</th>
<th>Ayes (WR)</th>
<th>Nays</th>
<th>Excused</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. AQUINO, Henry J.C. (C)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. QUINLAN, Sean (VC)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. BROWER, Tom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. HASHEM, Mark J.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. NAKAMURA, Nadine K.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. SAN BUENAVENTURA, Joy A.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. McDERMOTT, Bob</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL (7) 3 1 2 1

The recommendation is: ✔ Adopted  ❑ Not Adopted
If joint referral, ________________________________ did not support recommendation.

Vice Chair's or designee's signature: ________________________________

Distribution: Original (White) – Committee  Duplicate (Yellow) – Chief Clerk’s Office  Duplicate (Pink) – HMSO
The committee is reconsidering its previous decision on the measure.

The recommendation is to:
- Pass, unamended (as is)
- Pass, with amendments (HD)
- Hold
- Pass short form bill with HD to recommit for future public hearing (recommit)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIN Members</th>
<th>Ayes</th>
<th>Ayes (WR)</th>
<th>Nays</th>
<th>Excused</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. LUKE, Sylvia (C)</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CULLEN, Ty J.K. (VC)</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CACHOLA, Romy M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. DeCOITE, Lynn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. FUKUMOTO, Beth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. GATES, Cedric Asuega</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. HOLT, Daniel</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. KEOHOKALOLE, Jarrett</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. KOBAYASHI, Bertrand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. LoPRESTI, Matthew S.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. LOWEN, Nicole E.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. NAKAMURA, Nadine K.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. YAMASHITA, Kyle T.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. TUPOLA, Andria P.L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. WARD, Gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL (15) 8 0 6 1

The recommendation is: ☑ Adopted  ☐ Not Adopted

If joint referral, [committee acronym(s)] did not support recommendation.

Vice Chair's or designee's signature: [Signature]

Distribution: Original (White) – Committee  Duplicate (Yellow) – Chief Clerk's Office  Duplicate (Pink) – HMSO