


DAVID Y. IGE
GOVERNOR



DEPT. COMM. NO. 259
DOUGLAS MURDOCK
CHIEF INFORMATION
OFFICER

OFFICE OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96810-0119
Ph: (808) 586-6000 | Fax: (808) 586-1922
ETS.HAWAII.GOV

February 4, 2022

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi,
President, and
Members of The Senate
Thirty-First State Legislature
Hawaii State Capitol, Room 409
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

The Honorable Scott K. Saiki,
Speaker, and
Members of The House of Representatives
Thirty-First State Legislature
Hawaii State Capitol, Room 431
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

Pursuant to HRS section 27-43.6, which requires the Chief Information Officer to submit applicable independent verification and validation (IV&V) reports to the Legislature within ten days of receiving the report, please find attached the IV&V report the Office of Enterprise Technology Services received for the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission's Content and Document Management System Project.

In accordance with HRS section 93-16, this report may be viewed electronically at <http://ets.hawaii.gov> (see "Reports").

Sincerely,


Douglas Murdock (Feb 4, 2022 12:09 HST)

DOUGLAS MURDOCK
Chief Information Officer
State of Hawai'i

Attachment (1)



Content and Document Management System (CDMS) Project

Hawaii Public Utilities Commission (PUC)


IV&V Monthly Status Report
For Reporting Period: **December 2021**

Draft Submitted: 1/07/2022

Final Submitted: 2/1/2022

Overview

- Executive Summary
- IV&V Findings and Recommendations
- IV&V Preliminary Concerns
- IV&V Scope and Approach
- IV&V Engagement Status
- Appendices
 - A – IV&V Criticality Ratings
 - B – IV&V Inputs
 - C – Upcoming IV&V Activities



Executive Summary

The Project appears to be making good progress as the System Integrator (SI) conducted backlog grooming sessions and is currently developing the combined Sprint 3 / 4 user stories. The Data Migration and Conversion Plan is nearly complete. The SI elected to scale back the number of user stories they planned on completing in this sprint and indicated this will not impact the overall project schedule.



The PUC Project Manager and Technical Subject Matter Expert (SME) added more work to their already demanding schedules during this reporting period. They are now responsible for procuring merchant accounts to support the system's payment functionality. However, the level of effort to procure these merchant accounts was unknown at the onset of the Project and if these additional activities are not complete by the end of February 2022 the schedule may be impacted.

To improve the productivity of business analysis sessions, the SI implemented focused working sessions involving fewer attendees. These sessions appear to be productive.

Dec '21	Category	IV&V Summary
L	Project Management	<p>PUC resources continue to be available as needed. Meeting planning and collaboration continue to be effective.</p> <p>No project deliverables were submitted for review. The Data Migration and Conversion Plan is expected to be submitted in January 2022. The SI implemented an internal QA phase to review deliverables prior to submission.</p> <p>Opportunities for process improvement efforts have been observed. It remains unclear how process improvement is being managed.</p>
Dec '21	Category	IV&V Summary
L	Requirements Management	<p>The SI initiated a series of twice weekly meetings with smaller groups of PUC SMEs for targeted analysis activities. This should help to alleviate the burden on the broader group of SMEs and allow for productive, in-depth discussion of key topics.</p>

Executive Summary

IV&V is monitoring six findings. In this period, two preliminary concerns were escalated to a risk. In total, there are four risks and two preliminary concerns. The four risks are rated low. Three are in the Project Management category and one is in the Requirements Management category.



The background is a solid blue gradient. Overlaid on this are several abstract geometric elements: squares of various sizes and colors (some solid, some outlined) and thin white lines that connect some of the squares, creating a network-like structure. The elements are primarily located on the left and right sides of the slide, framing the central text.

IV&V Findings and Recommendations

IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #14	Type: Risk	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management
	Rating: Low		Date Opened: September 30, 2021

Title: Limited PUC resource availability could lead to schedule delays and incomplete system design.

Observation: Although the CDMS Project is a high priority at the PUC, resource limitations appear to exist throughout the life cycle of the Project. These constraints were communicated to the System Integrator (SI) early in the project for planning purposes.

Context: System development projects require coordination and engagement between the SI and the client in order to accurately document business needs, processes, user stories, business rules, and anything needed to build a system that meets the client's needs.

Impact: Schedule delays, increased project cost, implementation of a solution that that does not meet the PUC's needs

Updates

12/30/2021: PUC resources continue to be available as needed. Meeting planning and collaboration continue to be effective. Although a fully resourced work plan may not be developed, the Project team is currently balancing PUC SMEs and project meetings well.



IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #14 (cont.)	Type: Risk	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management	
	Rating: Low		Date Opened: September 30, 2021	
Recommendations/Action Items			Period	Status
PUC PM and SI PM develop a plan to address these constraints. Work closely together throughout the project to plan important meetings based on resource availability.			Long Term	In progress
SI develop fully resourced work plan.			Short Term	Not started
PUC and SI review Sprint Plan and ceremonies to identify specific resources to help identify resource risk that can be addressed before sprint cycles begin.			Short Term	In progress
SI employ agile processes and methodologies so that progress can be made regardless of PUC resource availability.			Long Term	In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #15	Type: Risk	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management
	Rating: Low		Date Opened: September 30, 2021

Title: Project deliverables and artifacts that lack sufficient detail could lead to project delays, misunderstandings, inefficient project execution, and rework.

Observation: Early SI submissions of project deliverables lacked sufficient detail.

Context: Project planning documentation such as the Project Plan, Risk Management Plan, Communication Plan and Change Management Plan, can be effective tools for projects of this size to increase stakeholder understanding of the goals, approach, steps, timelines, roles and responsibilities. Additionally, conceptual designs, requirements traceability matrices, and process maps can also provide important information for successfully developing a system that meets PUC's needs.

Impact: Failure to provide sufficient detail in project deliverables can lead to project team confusion, missteps, project delays, misunderstandings, inefficient project execution, and rework.

Updates

12/30/2021: The SI did not submit any deliverables for review during this reporting period. The Data Migration and Conversion Plan is expected to be submitted in January 2022. The SI plans on collaborating earlier with PUC to obtain input, and performing an internal QA review prior to submitting future draft deliverables.



IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #15 (cont.)	Type: Risk Rating: Low	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management Date Opened: September 30, 2021	
Recommendations/Action Items			Period	Status
Although DEDs were developed for all deliverables, the SI should involve PUC before providing the draft deliverable to obtain feedback and expedite review cycles.			Long term	In progress
The SI should perform additional QA of deliverables prior to submission			Long term	In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #17	Type: Risk	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management
	Rating: Low		Date Opened: September 30, 2021

Title: Inefficient business analysis activities could lead to rework, schedule delays, SME frustration, and poor system design

Observation: PUC and IV&V were concerned that many analysis outputs lacked sufficient quality and comprehensiveness. For example 1) PUC workshop attendees mentioned various workshops and meetings were not very useful, unorganized and unproductive; 2) The workshop cadence seemed slow and did not appear to achieve all intended goals of each workshop session; 3) Although not a contractual requirement, meeting notes from the workshops were not sent to meeting attendees which helps confirm the SI's understanding and shows visibility that the SI understands PUC's needs; 4) Although not explicitly required, PUC requested the SI to review the business documentation provided by a 3rd party prior to conducting the as-is workshops to save time and not start from a blank slate. Despite having access to and reviewing the existing business documentation, PUC observed many questions and time spent on areas that were already documented and PUC was not confident as to how much of the existing documentation was leveraged.

Context: Efficient business analysis processes promote effective communications resulting in productive meetings, good project documentation that provides clarity to complex topics, and overall, foster trust.

Impact: Inefficient analysis activities can negatively impact the Project. For example, 1) Project delays can occur if meetings do not meet intended goals and require additional clarification; 2) Rework and redesign can happen if accurate information was not solicited because participant expectations were not clear during the meeting; 3) Client buy-in and system acceptance may reduce.

Updates

12/30/2022: The SI is addressing this risk by initiating a series of twice weekly meetings with smaller groups of PUC SMEs for targeted analysis activities. This should help to alleviate the burden on the broader group of SMEs and allow for productive, in-depth discussion of key topics.



IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #17 (cont.)	Type: Risk Rating: Low	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management Date Opened: September 30, 2021	
Recommendations/Action Items			Period	Status
Institute continuous process improvement activities to refine the analysis processes and maximize their cadence without sacrificing quality.			Long term	In progress
Request the SI track their cadence/velocity to improve estimation of task durations to assure planned milestone due dates are realistic.			Long term	In progress

IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #18	Type: Risk	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management
	Rating: Low		Date Opened: October 28, 2021

Title: Lack of attention to process improvement can lead to a system that simply automates existing processes instead of improving them

Observation: The extent to which the Project intends to focus on process improvements remains unclear. Pain points do not seem comprehensively tracked or considered during design sessions or whether all stakeholders are aware of or are actively utilizing the pain points list. While IV&V recognizes that change is difficult, some stakeholders appear to be hesitant to let go of familiar processes during the design sessions. It remains unclear if PUC has assigned the role of change champion to drive organizational process improvements.

Context: IT Projects that assign change champions and prioritize process improvement have an increased likelihood of resulting in systems that meet the organization's future business needs and improve system acceptance.

Impact: Lack of attention to process improvement can lead to a final product that fails to provide maximum value to users. Tracking pain points can be an effective OCM strategy to promote user adoption and increase user buy-in by providing visibility into how the system can resolve their pain points. Also, identifying and implementing opportunities for process improvement avoids SME frustration and rework.

Updates

12/30/2021: IV&V has observed instances where the Project is seeking out opportunities for process improvement. It remains unclear how process improvement is being managed. IV&V will monitor if any process improvement opportunities are being missed or addressed.

IV&V Findings and Recommendations - Risks

IV&V ID #18 (cont.)	Type: Risk Rating: Low	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management Date Opened: September 30, 2021		
Recommendations/Action Items			Period	Status	
Identify a PUC process improvement resource to drive/coordinate organizational process improvement efforts and assure system related processes are optimized. This resource could attend design sessions and validate designs support process improvement.			Short term	Not started	
Work closely with the SI to identify the most optimum opportunities for process improvement and implement associated features in the system being careful not to overwhelm users with too much change.			Long term	Not started	
Formally track pain points and engage stakeholders to utilize and append the pain point list as new ones are identified.			Long term	Not started	
Formally track readily available details of out-of-scope requirements that could be beneficial to users so they are not forgotten, and they can be easily revisited in future project phases or other organizational initiatives.			Medium term	Not started	

The background is a solid blue color. It is decorated with several abstract geometric elements: white-outlined squares of various sizes, some of which are slightly offset from each other, and solid blue squares of various sizes. Some of these squares are connected by thin white lines, creating a sense of movement or a network. The overall aesthetic is modern and technical.

IV&V Preliminary Concerns

(These are not findings, rather, these are observations based on limited information at the time of reporting and require further discovery, research and clarification.)

IV&V Preliminary Concerns

ID #16	Type: Preliminary Concern	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management
	Rating: n/a		Date Opened: September 30, 2021

Title: Adoption of an aggressive schedule can lead to poor system design, PUC stakeholder frustration, and stretch PUC resources beyond their capacity.

Observation: The project has an aggressive schedule with little slack given the volume of deliverables and artifacts, the availability of PUC resources, and the perceived cadence of project meetings and workshops.

Context: A schedule with flexibility and sufficient slack to accommodate project changes that impact the schedule such as resource availability, activities that take longer than anticipated, or missed dependencies, typically result in a project that is delivered on time. Projects with aggressive schedules tend to rush project activities to meet deadlines.

Impact: Rushed project activities can reduce document and system quality. When activities do not seem thorough, customer frustration can result. A rushed schedule can place unnecessary demand on PUC resources, especially if PUC resources are already fully utilized.

Updates

12/30/2021: The Project removed user stories that were originally planned for Sprint 3/4 and no user stories were added. IV&V will monitor this activity to determine the impact on the project schedule.

IV&V Preliminary Concerns

ID #19	Type: Preliminary Concern	Status: In progress	Category: Project Management
	Rating: n/a		Date Opened: November 30, 2021

Title: Key PUC project resources performing multiple roles could lead to schedule delays and significant project disruption.

Observation: IV&V has noted that at least two of the PUC project team members perform multiple roles and responsibilities on the project which may impact their ability to be successful if project demands increase.

In addition to serving as PUC's CDMS PM, this position also performs the following roles: Organizational Change Management lead, Process Improvement lead, Business Analyst Co-lead, User Acceptance Test (UAT) Co-lead, and Contract Administrator. In addition to performing ongoing operational responsibilities, the PUC CDMS Technical Lead is the Project IT Sponsor, Data SME, BA Co-Lead, and User Acceptance Test Co-Lead, and is heavily relied on for business analysis.

While these team members have indicated a strong commitment to project success, each has multiple competing priorities. The team members stated their support staff, including the new communications lead, will take on more responsibility to alleviate demands on their time. Also, the team members believe that the overall future workload will lessen.

It remains unclear if PUC staffing levels are appropriate for this project.

Context: Typically, Hybrid Agile projects require an increased level of customer engagement through all phases of the project. Overreliance on key resources can not only overtax and thereby reduce the effectiveness of these key individuals but also present a risk of significant project disruption in the event of their departure.

Impact: If the PUC PM and Technical SME are unable to transfer some responsibilities to other PUC resources, this could stretch them beyond their capacity which may lead to project delays and a decrease in quality in the project tasks they perform.

Updates

12/30/2021: The unanticipated level of effort procuring merchant accounts for credit cards has added additional responsibilities to key PUC team members. The total level of effort and detailed activities required to procure merchant accounts were not known early in the project and the Project was unable to anticipate resource needs to conduct the procurement which, in the end, could lead to project delays.





IV&V Scope and Approach

IV&V Scope

- In accordance with PCG's contract for the CDMS Project at the PUC, the subject areas that are within the scope of IV&V activities include:
 - Project Management
 - Requirements Management
 - Software Development
 - Development Environment
 - System and Acceptance Testing
 - Operating Environment
 - Data Management
 - Operations Oversight
 - Training
- As the CDMS IV&V project progresses, PCG's activities will focus on areas that represent highest risk to the Hawaii PUC.

IV&V Approach and Methodology
















- What is Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)?
 - Oversight by an independent third party that assesses the project against industry standards to provide an unbiased view to stakeholders
 - The goal of IV&V is to help the State get the solution they want based on requirements and have it built according to best practices
 - IV&V helps improve design visibility and traceability and identifies (potential) problems early
 - IV&V objectively identifies risks and communicates to project leadership for risk management
- PCG IV&V Methodology
 - Consists of a 4-part process made up of the following areas:
 1. **Discovery** – Discovery consists of reviewing documentation, work products and deliverables, interviewing project team members, and determining applicable standards, best practices and tools
 2. **Research and Analysis** – Research and analysis is conducted in order to form an objective opinion.
 3. **Clarification** – Clarification from project team members is sought to ensure agreement and concurrence of facts between the State, the Vendor, and PCG.
 4. **Delivery of Findings** – Findings, observations, and risk assessments are documented in this monthly report and the accompanying Findings and Recommendations log. These documents are then shared with project leadership on both the State and Vendor side for them to consider and take appropriate action on.




Note: This report is a point-in-time document with findings accurate as of the last day in the reporting period.



IV&V Engagement Status

IV&V Engagement Status

IV&V Engagement Area	Oct	Nov	Dec	Comments
IV&V Budget				The IV&V engagement is deliverables-based and PUC is not at risk of being over budget.
IV&V Schedule				The IV&V engagement aligns with the SI schedule. At this time.
IV&V Deliverables				There are no known risks to upcoming IV&V deliverables.
IV&V Staffing				The IV&V team maintains the proposed team and there are no foreseeable changes.
IV&V Scope				The IV&V project continues to operate within the scope of its engagement.




Engagement Status Legend		
 <p>The engagement area is within acceptable parameters.</p>	 <p>The engagement area is somewhat outside acceptable parameters.</p>	 <p>The engagement area poses a significant risk to the IV&V project quality and requires immediate attention.</p>

Appendices

The background is a solid blue color with a subtle gradient. It is decorated with various geometric shapes, including squares and rectangles, some of which are outlined in white and others filled with a lighter blue. These shapes are scattered across the page, with a higher concentration on the left side. Some shapes are connected by thin white lines, creating a sense of flow and structure. The overall design is clean and modern.

Appendix A – IV&V Criticality Ratings

See definitions of Criticality Ratings below:

Criticality Rating	Definition
	A high rating is assigned if there is a possibility of substantial impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. A major disruption is likely and the consequences would be unacceptable. A different approach is required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and acted upon immediately.
	A medium rating is assigned if there is a possibility of moderate impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Some disruption is likely and a different approach may be required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and implemented as soon as feasible.
	A low rating is assigned if there is a possibility of slight impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Minimal disruption is likely and some oversight is most likely needed to ensure that the risk remains low. Mitigation strategies should be considered for implementation when possible.

Appendix B – IV&V Inputs

Meetings attended during the reporting period:	Artifacts reviewed during the reporting period:
Standing: Bi-weekly risk meetings	none
Standing: Weekly IVV check-in meetings	
Standing: Weekly project status meetings	
Standing: Daily standups as needed	
Standing: Project Management Meetings	
Sprint 3/4 Grooming Sessions	
Sprint 2 Demo	
Sprint 2 Requirements Validation Meeting	

Appendix C – Upcoming IV&V Activities

Anticipated meetings to attend next period	Anticipated artifacts to review next period
Deliverable Walkthroughs	Data Migration and Conversion Plan
Standing: Bi-weekly risk meetings	Organizational Change Management Plan
Standing: Weekly IVV check-in meetings	Sprints 1-4 Backlog Report
Standing: Weekly project status meetings	Proposed Sprint 5 User Stories
Standing: Daily standups as needed	
Sprint 3/4 Demo	
Sprint 5 Backlog Refinement Meetings	
Data Conversion Meetings	
Working Sessions	

Appendix D – Recommendation Periods

Period	Definition
Short Term	These are recommendations that should be completed within the month and/or require less than a month to complete
Medium Term	These are recommendations that should be completed within 2-6 months and/or require 2-6 months to complete
Long Term	These are recommendations that should be completed within 6 months to a year and/or require > 6 months to complete.



Solutions that Matter