
HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

REQUESTING A STUDY REGARDING THE NEED TO INCREASE THE DOLLAR
THRESHOLD THAT DETERMINES WHETHER A SMALL PURCHASE IS
EXEMPT FROM SMALL PURCHASE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES.

1 WHEREAS, the Legislature enacted the Hawaii Public
2 Procurement Code in 1993 to provide for fair and equitable
3 treatment of all persons dealing with the procurement system,
4 foster broad-based competition among vendors while ensuring
5 accountability, transparency, fiscal responsibility, and
6 efficiency in the procurement process, and increase confidence
7 in the integrity of the system for expenditure of public funds;
8 and
9

10 WHEREAS, under current law, small purchase procurements of
11 \$25,000 to less than \$250,000 are subject to rule-based small
12 purchase procurement procedures, while small purchase
13 procurements of less than \$25,000 are exempt from these
14 procedures; and
15

16 WHEREAS, established in 1997, the foregoing dollar
17 thresholds are out of touch with the current cost of goods,
18 services, and construction, which have greatly increased and
19 continue to escalate; and
20

21 WHEREAS, inflation is only one of many factors contributing
22 to escalating costs, and \$25,000 in 1997 dollars had the same
23 buying power as \$36,735 in 2014 dollars, due to inflation alone;
24 and
25

26 WHEREAS, accordingly, an increase of the small purchase
27 procurement dollar thresholds is overdue and clearly advisable
28 to ensure fulfillment of the Legislature's stated intent
29 regarding small purchase procurements, namely, to ensure that
30 small purchases be simple to procure and administer while



H.C.R. NO. 65

1 providing for as much competition as is practicable; now,
2 therefore,
3

4 BE IT RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the
5 Twenty-eighth Legislature of the State of Hawaii, Regular
6 Session of 2015, the Senate concurring, that the State
7 Procurement Policy Board is requested to study:
8

9 (1) How the cost of goods, services, and construction have
10 escalated since 1997, considering inflation and other
11 factors; and
12

13 (2) With respect to the dollar threshold that determines
14 whether a small purchase is subject to small purchase
15 procurement procedures or exempt from these
16 procedures, and given the Legislature's intent that
17 small purchases be simple to procure and administer
18 while providing for as much competition as is
19 practicable:
20

21 (A) To what amount should this dollar threshold be
22 increased to ensure, in light of evident
23 escalating costs, that the Legislature's intent
24 in setting the threshold at \$25,000 in 1997
25 continues to be fulfilled; and
26

27 (B) With such an increase of the dollar threshold,
28 what additional safeguards, if any, should be
29 implemented to ensure efficiency, transparency,
30 and accountability in exempt small purchase
31 procurements, including, for example, requiring
32 each purchasing agency and department to include
33 in its annual report an itemized list of exempt
34 small purchase procurements made; and
35

36 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the State Procurement Policy
37 Board is requested to submit a report of its findings,
38 recommendations, and actions to the Legislature no later than
39 twenty days before the commencement of the Regular Session of
40 2016; and



H.C.R. NO. 65

1 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that certified copies of this
 2 Concurrent Resolution be transmitted to the Comptroller and the
 3 chairperson of the State Procurement Policy Board.
 4
 5
 6

OFFERED BY:

Calvin K. Ay

K. L.

Stanford

Mark H. Hask

ATP

STO

Ryan

R. S. O.
Kordachizone

MAR 04 2015

